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Preface
Launching and developing a roadmap in the upstream oil and gas drilling industry has been a major challenge. Similar roadmaps are common in Department of Defense (DOD) and other similar work environments, in which experts are typically funded to generate the product within 12 to 24 months. The DSA-R, launched as an all-volunteer initiative during a particularly challenging time in the oil and gas upstream industry, has produced a high value product.

We researched and identified the best process for road mapping and adapted it to drilling. This process—the publicly released version of the Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) Roadmapping—guided us as we stepped through the project’s phases and stages. This report is the output of Phase II Stage II of that process. The SNL Roadmapping process envisages an update to this report every two years post publication, enabling updates of the current state of automation and the application of lessons learnt to be included in revised future projections.

We pulled together a strong steering committee, which expanded the input to some 50 industry experts globally. This broad reach drove the richness of the content.

We commenced this project as a group of industry experts volunteering both time and knowledge. It became apparent that the Oil and Gas industry volunteer initiatives struggle to deliver results especially in the tight financial environment after 2014. This led the leadership to secure funding for professionals to carry forward the planned program through the IADC Drilling Engineering Committee (DEC) process for Joint Industry Project (JIP) approval. We are thankful to the funding companies in JIP 1 and JIP2 who made it possible to transform a volunteer initiative of experts into a product for the industry and non-industry experts to access this knowledge base and deliver value themselves, for their companies and for the industry.

This report is intended to help any company within or outside the oil and gas industry understand the direction of drilling systems automation and to find an opportunity in which they may use their own expertise to profitably add value to this technology growth.

By John de Wardt, BSc Mech Eng Hons, CEng, FI MechE, Distinguished Member SPE. President DE WARDT AND COMPANY INC
Program Manager, Lead Author & Lead Editor of the Drilling Systems Automation Roadmap 2013 – 2019
Executive Summary

Purpose, Scope and Boundaries
The purpose of this report is to describe a vision for Drilling Systems Automation (DSA) and the steps that may be taken to move the industry forward and to affordably achieve this vision. Delivering on the promise of DSA requires a roadmap to describe the interrelations within a complex operation and to show how those interrelations can be advanced to deliver value.

The DSA roadmap addresses the full range of drilling operations and wells at a high level termed the ‘Reference Architecture’, which provides a framework for industry cooperation that forms an umbrella over actual DSA implementation at the ‘Solutions Level’, where innovation and competition thrive. The scope includes the full cycle from spud to completed well ready to connect and put on stream, across all varieties of drilling operations and across all well types.

Location construction (seabed surveying) and the arrival and installation of the drilling unit have not been included. Although they have an impact on the rate of adoption, business models were excluded. It is likely that lump sum and financially incentivized drilling operations will be accelerators of the application of DSA because of elements of DSA related to rate of penetration (ROP) optimization, advanced control of well bore steering that leads to a reduction in on site personnel and, recently, the advent of a major service company program to purchase a range of relevant companies and invest heavily to implement a fully integrated DSA program called “Rig of the Future”.

Vision, Product Definition
The vision for the DSA roadmap was drafted at the applied technology workshop held in Vail, Colorado (de Wardt et al. 2012): “In 2025, well plans are uploaded into an interoperable drilling system that automatically delivers a quality wellbore into the best geological location, installs the casing and zonal isolation according to plan, installs the completion system according to the program and updates remote operators and experts in real time to changes in the situation, and identifies potential paths for success for the experts to input control. Deep, complex wells will rely more heavily on centers of excellence onsite and remote to provide real time and near real time updates. Routine multiple wells will rely on remote operations centers to monitor progress and react to alarms.”

Current State, Future State
The current state and the perceived future state of drilling define the gap to be crossed by DSA. Much has been achieved by various companies in the oil and gas drilling sector. Significantly more achievement is envisaged as participants see maturing current technologies opening opportunities to the future. Through a high degree of automation, combined with advanced data analytics becoming the norm globally, DSA will progressively transform the way drilling operations perform. The transformation is advancing the quickest in the USA land drilling environment, which will provide both a platform and an understanding for future developments and global adoption.
Systems Architecture

Systems Architecture defines integration and physical interoperability of the drilling system, including prime subsystems, and includes the hierarchy of workflows, interfaces, definition of states, and other aspects that enable system functionality. This definition was recognized as a critical foundation for the successful uptake of drilling systems automation. The method to develop the Drilling Systems Automation Systems Architecture (DSASA) was based on Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE). This approach provides a top down approach of systems that combine to deliver a well in a highly complex and often uncertain environment having multiple viewpoints. A hierarchy of architecture from Reference Architecture through Pattern Architecture to Solutions Architecture was identified as the most appropriate means to map the Systems of Interest (SoI) for the industry. The Reference Architecture is the focus of the roadmap and is the level of architecture used to define how the industry can cooperate using a common view. The Solutions Architecture is the detailed version developed for any project and is the level at which innovation and competition occurs. Solutions Architecture feedback lessons to Pattern Architecture that feedback interoperability updates to the Reference Architecture, which is anticipated to be maintained as a common view across the industry. Systems of Interest are described in terms of potential development and their consequences mapped to the roadmap challenges. This multi-dimensional approach combines the articulation of any SOI development with the associated development required in the challenges to ensure success.

Systems Architecture also describes the levels of decisions and control occurring up a hierarchy from sensors to business systems. ISA 95 framework was adopted and adapted to map the Decision and Control Framework for Drilling Systems Automation.

DSA Roadmap Challenges

The DSA Roadmap process identified eight interdependent challenges (technology and process streams) to describe anticipated advancements in drilling systems automation across the spectrum of expertise required to deliver a comprehensive solution. To achieve the vision of the roadmap, these advancements are anticipated to be both innovative and disruptive. The eight challenges, listed and summarized below, include:

1. **Communications** address links among the downhole, surface, remote operating centers, and distributed experts and standards for common protocols and interoperability, deterministic systems for hardware control and secure data transport at all levels.

2. **Instrumentation and Measurement Systems** (IMS) defines the requirements for delivering comprehensive, reliable, quality measurements of the downhole, and surface operations in a appropriately timely manner for DSA.

3. **Drilling Machines and Equipment** includes a wide range of surface and downhole drilling equipment and highly mechanized and semi-autonomous robotics.
4. **Control Systems** focusses on downhole, surface and remote systems directed at creating the wellbore and delivering various levels of automation, from monitoring through advisory control to autonomous systems.

5. **Simulation Systems and Modeling** covers planning, real-time, offline, remote and post-well modeling and simulation tools and systems.

6. **Human Systems Integration** addresses the interaction of automation systems with humans and mode issues including human displays, human machine interfaces, role competencies, training and distributed and decentralized control. It also introduces the application of a Levels of Automation Taxonomy (LOAT) matrix for the transition from manual to highly automated systems through the cognitive functions cycle of acquisition, assessment, decision and action.

7. **Industry Standards and Certification** identifies available standards and regulations that may be applied to define the operations of automation as well as to current and future impacts that can define the ultimate future of DSA.

8. **Contingency Management System** is critical for safe, deterministic, trustable, deployable autonomy and for the system’s ability to “get out of trouble” (added Dec 2015). This challenge is currently unaddressed.

### Value Proposition for DSA

The value proposition for DSA has been difficult to articulate. Control systems for drilling have been enhanced and islands of true automation have been developed and implemented. Industry experts have inconsistent views of the value of automation that range from those who believe it will add value to those that maintain the business can only be run by experts in a highly manual mode. Proponents for automation are now engaging significant sums of money to prove their concepts while detractors believe conventional machinery already in the asset base combined with significant personnel training efforts will be a competitive business model.

The fundamentals for successfully applying automation also are aligned with improving abilities within the industry today. One example of this failing is the quality of data from surface drilling sensors that the driller uses to operate the drilling rig; recent efforts to measure this quality has shown huge errors that can be remedied only by an approved and thoroughly adopted calibration and maintenance process. Additional issues arise as various companies install sensors of similar or differing physical models to measure and display data either to themselves or to multiple parties. This leads to significant confusion as to which measurement is accurate and timely for the purposes to which it is to be applied. The current array of sensors traverses the spectrum from high accuracy, high frequency and low latency to erroneous, infrequent and delayed, creating a challenge to the implementation of a comprehensive automation system. Some of the issues with current sensors can be simply remedied through upgrades while other issues cannot be physically or economically solved and require models to fill the gaps in data and information.
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High performance drilling in the USA land environment has created a small opportunity for automation to deliver value through faster drilling and hence lower well costs. At the same time, this high-performance drilling environment has created a need for automated acquisition, processing and decision making that is many times faster than human capability. Mile a Day (MAD) wells are being achieved in the vertical, build and lateral well sections with the application of various degrees of automation in the cognitive function cycle to support the human, who assumes a supervisory role. This automation is enabling experts to supervise multiple operations remotely and not be restricted to a single wellsite.

Rig designs developed in a manual era yield less value than automated mechanisms developed in an automated era. The value from automation can be significantly leveraged when the mechanical system is designed though a systems engineering approach from a basis of automation.

The value proposition exists and requires careful articulation in terms of well costs / quality impact and not simply speed of drilling.
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